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I. Introduction

On Saturday morning, March 13, 1948, Ellis Hutson, Sr., a 50-year-old African-American father walked into the Nacogdoches County Courthouse. He was dressed in a hat\(^1\) and a U.S. Navy jacket, a gift from his son Lincoln, a veteran from the recent war.\(^2\) Hutson, Sr. had gone to court so he could post bond for his eldest son, Ellis Jr., who had been arrested the evening before for allegedly assaulting Constable Travis Helpinstill. Speaking through the bars of his county jail cell, Hutson, Jr. had told his father that Helpinstill had been the aggressor. Ellis Hutson, Sr. never succeeded in posting his son’s bond that morning because Constable Helpinstill shot him three times, purportedly in self-defense. A knife was found next to Hutson, Sr.’s body, although his family and those who had seen him that morning insisted that he had not been carrying a knife.

Hutson, Sr., a widower, left behind eight children.\(^3\) His 14-year-old daughter Marylon, would later recall washing the blood off of his coat after he was killed.\(^4\) She and her two minor siblings went to live with their maternal grandmother.\(^5\)

Hutson, Sr. was not the first African-American man to be victimized by Constable Helpinstill. Although he had never met the constable before this day,\(^6\) he knew of many others who had endured severe injuries at the hands of Travis Helpinstill. What made Helpinstill’s attack on Hutson, Sr. unique was that it sparked legal action at a time when legal remedies were

---


\(^2\) James Hutson and Marylon Wilson, interviews by Georgi J. Vogel Rosen and I.B. Koleosho, East Texas Research Center, July 26, 2013.

\(^3\) James Hutson, Marylon Wilson, Obadiah Johnson, interviews by Georgi J. Vogel Rosen and I.B. Koleosho, East Texas Research Center, July 26, 2013 [hereinafter James Hutson or Marylon Wilson or Obadiah Johnson interview].

\(^4\) Marylon Wilson interview, supra note 3.

\(^5\) James Hutson and Marylon Wilson interviews, supra note 3.

\(^6\) Id.
not readily available for bringing justice to victims of racially-motivated violence in the Jim Crow South. Ellis Hutson’s death led to the first prosecution of a white law enforcement officer for the first-degree murder of an African-American man in Texas history. In addition, Ellis Hutson’s family received a modest settlement in a civil suit, and Constable Helpinstill was successfully prosecuted in federal court for the unlawful arrest and beating of Hutson’s son, Ellis Hutson, Jr.

II. Constable Travis S. Helpinstill and His Previous Victims

On September 3, 1946, Travis Helpinstill ousted veteran incumbent J. Todd Brown in a landslide election, winning the office of Constable for Precinct 1 of Nacogdoches County, Texas. At the age of 21, Helpinstill was the youngest man ever to have been elected a constable in Texas history. He had used his youth to his advantage, running on the campaign slogan, “Old enough to know what to do, experienced enough to know how to do it, and young enough to do it.” Helpinstill said he gained his experience while serving in the U.S. Marine Corps as a corporal in Saipan and Iwo Jima during World War II. A book about the history of Nacogdoches would later boast that “[i]n one incident, [Helpinstill’s] heroism saved the lives of many of his fellow soldiers and caused the death of 27 Japanese combatants.”

10 Id.
11 Barton and Jasso, Images, supra note 8 at 91.
13 Barton and Jasso, Images, supra note 8 at 91.
returned to East Texas after the war in the fall of 1946. He reassumed his old job at an automobile sales company and began to campaign for office.\textsuperscript{14} He told the \textit{Evening Journal}, “I figured that if I could take care of myself against the Japs, I could surely fulfill the duties of Constable.”\textsuperscript{15}

Helpinstill was sworn into office on January 1, 1947.\textsuperscript{16} Thirty-two days later, on Sunday, February 2, he came across Willie Lee Mergerson, an African-American Baptist preacher on his way home from his church in the Sanctified Quarters neighborhood of Nacogdoches.\textsuperscript{17,18} Mergerson was 41 years old, married, and the father of seven children.\textsuperscript{19} According to Mergerson’s account, the preacher had "peacefully and quietly" been walking home from church along the train tracks.\textsuperscript{20} Constable Helpinstill "accosted" him "in such a manner to frighten him. Mergerson became scared and ran. Helpinstill shot at him with his pistol.\textsuperscript{22} Mergerson then "ran into a wire fence and through brush and briers and under brush for his life trying to escape from the gun shots…”\textsuperscript{23} He ran to a “colored” taxi stand and attempted to call the sheriff for help.\textsuperscript{24} Helpinstill then reportedly arrived at the taxi stand.\textsuperscript{25} Mergerson tried to explain why he had run but Helpinstill "at once seized upon him" with assistance from other young boys at the

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{14}“Constable…Job,” \textit{Evening Journal, supra} note 7 at 7. \\
\textsuperscript{15}\textit{Id.} \\
\textsuperscript{17}\textit{Id.} \\
\textsuperscript{18}Bobbie Mergerson Jones and Clifford Mergerson, interview by Georgi J. Vogel Rosen and I.B. Koleosho, East Texas Research Center, July 26, 2013 [hereinafter \textit{Bobbie Mergerson Jones or Clifford Mergerson interviews}]. \\
\textsuperscript{19}\textit{Mergerson Case, NAACP, supra} note 16 at 2. \\
\textsuperscript{20}\textit{Id.} at 3. \\
\textsuperscript{21}\textit{Id.} \\
\textsuperscript{22}\textit{Id.} \\
\textsuperscript{23}\textit{Id.} \\
\textsuperscript{24}\textit{Id.} \\
\textsuperscript{25}\textit{Id.}
\end{flushleft}
They forced Mergerson into a car and drove him to the Center Highway, where they beat him repeatedly. They then carried him to another location, where they removed his clothes and beat him over the head. They discontinued the assault when some white passersby intervened. Helpinstill planned to take Mergerson to the county jail, but eventually released him at the white people’s urging. Mergerson was then taken to the hospital where doctors placed a steel plate in his head. His skull had been fractured, and he had suffered a brain injury. Mergerson would experience headaches and sinus problems for the rest of his life. He died in a car accident nine years later, at the age of 50. Doctors told his family that had he would have survived the accident but for the steel plate in his head.

On February 5, three days after the assault on Willie Lee Mergerson, an unknown person or persons identifying themselves “the NAACP members of Nacogdoches, Texas” penned a letter to the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) national office in New York. The letter detailed the attack on Mergerson and requested NAACP support in seeking involvement from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). Around that time, a local white attorney, Arthur Lowery, assisted Mergerson in filing for a civil suit.

26 Id.
27 Id.
28 Id.
29 Id. at 4.
30 Id.
31 Id.
32 Bobbie Mergerson Jones or Clifford Mergerson interviews, supra note 18.
33 Mergerson Case, NAACP, supra note 16 at 4.
34 Bobbie Mergerson Jones or Clifford Mergerson interviews, supra note 18.
35 Id.
36 Id.
38 Id.
39 Id. at 11.
The next day, on February 6, Helpinstill reportedly visited Mergerson at the hospital and “threatened to kill him if he attempted to take any step against him in any manner.”\(^{40}\) When Mergerson did not respond, Helpinstill then pulled out a gun and showed it to the injured preacher lying in his hospital bed.\(^{41}\) That same day, Helpinstill’s father, J.B. Helpinstill went to Mergerson’s home to pressure his family not to pursue the matter.\(^{42, 43}\) Fearful of retaliation, Mergerson’s wife and children moved out of their home temporarily to hide from the Helpinstill family.\(^{44}\)

The NAACP showed initial interest in the case after receiving the anonymous letter from Texas. Franklin Williams, Assistant Special Counsel for the national NAACP office, sought information about the case from Nacogdoches branch of the NAACP.\(^{45}\) However, after reviewing the details, the Dallas office concluded that there was not much the NAACP could do, given that Helpinstill had not arrested or incarcerated Mergerson.\(^{46}\) Indeed, under the existing federal civil rights laws, Helpinstill could not be convicted of violating Mergerson’s civil rights unless the government could prove he had acted under the color of law.\(^{47}\) To prosecute Helpinstill, he would have had to act in some type of official capacity, because “color of law” requires the "[misuse] of power, possessed by virtue of state law and made possible only because the wrongdoer is clothed with the authority of state law."\(^{48}\) Without an arrest or incarceration, Helpinstill was technically acting as a private citizen rather than as a law enforcement officer. The assault therefore would not fall within federal jurisdiction.

\(^{40}\) Id. at 6.
\(^{41}\) Id.
\(^{42}\) Id.
\(^{43}\) Id.
\(^{44}\) Bobbie Mergerson Jones, interview by Georgi J. Vogel Rosen and I.B. Koleoshi, East Texas Research Center, July 26, 2013.
\(^{45}\) Mergerson Case, NAACP, supra note 16, at 6.
\(^{46}\) Id. at 12.
\(^{47}\) Id. at 15.
While federal action was not an option, a state criminal prosecution was under way. Lowery requested that a representative from the NAACP national office travel to Texas to assist with the prosecution.\textsuperscript{49} The president of the Nacogdoches branch of the NAACP noted in a letter to the national office that a grand jury would meet on May 26, 1947 to consider an indictment.\textsuperscript{50} Williams appears to have misunderstood that the State of Texas was moving forward with criminal prosecution. He declined to send a representative, stating that it was the NAACP’s policy not to get involved in civil litigation.\textsuperscript{51}

According to Nacogdoches County Courthouse records, a grand jury did indict Helpinstill on May 28, 1947.\textsuperscript{52} However, he was never tried,\textsuperscript{53} and there are no documents to suggest he was ever even arrested or arraigned on the charges. The state charge against him eventually was dismissed on the motion of the State’s Attorney on January 17, 1949.\textsuperscript{54}

Mergerson proceeded with a civil suit against Helpinstill. He sought $20,000 for his lost wages, physical injuries, pain and suffering, and for his family’s pain and suffering.\textsuperscript{55,56}

Helpinstill was said to have committed other acts of violence against Nacogdoches citizens in the year after his assault on Mergerson.\textsuperscript{57} He did not limit these assaults to the African-American community. He allegedly “fired recklessly into the back of a car driven by a white man and [struck] a white woman in the back near the collarbone.”\textsuperscript{58} Some residents of the

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{49} Mergerson Case, NAACP, supra note 16, at 24.
\textsuperscript{50} Id.
\textsuperscript{51} Id. at 25, 27-28.
\textsuperscript{52} Criminal Docket, Number 9379, Volume 3, Page 8, Nacogdoches County Courthouse.
\textsuperscript{54} Criminal Docket, Number 9379, Volume 3, Page 8, Nacogdoches County Courthouse.
\textsuperscript{55} Mergerson Case, NAACP, at 6.
\textsuperscript{56} The outcome of the legal action is not known.
\textsuperscript{57} Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 11, 14.
\textsuperscript{58} Id. at 14.
\end{flushright}
town allege that Helpinstill also killed a pregnant white woman by intentionally running over her with his car.  

On March 8, 1948, Helpinstill allegedly was involved in an incident of police brutality against an African-American World War II veteran, Turner White. Constable Helpinstill, the Chief of Police M.C. Roebuck and other local law enforcement officers reportedly took White from his home late that night on the pretext of asking him about his whereabouts two nights before. According to White, Helpinstill and the officers repeatedly beat him and then arrested him for public intoxication. White reported that he been repeatedly harassed by Nacogdoches law enforcement ever since he had returned from the war. White pled guilty to public intoxication the next morning, and Helpinstill told him to leave town. White immediately left Nacogdoches and checked himself into a veterans’ hospital in Louisiana, where he was treated for injuries to his head, eye and legs. His wife and children left Nacogdoches shortly thereafter.

III. The Killing of Ellis Hutson, Sr.

In the late afternoon of March 12, 1948, just four days after the assault on Turner White, 24-year-old Ellis Hutson, Jr. and his cousin, Elree Littles, also 24, were walking down a main road in their African-American neighborhood. They were transporting a hog in a wagon to a

---

60 *Ellis Hutson Case NAACP*, supra note 1, at 19-20.
61 *Id.* at 19-22.
62 *Id.* at 22.
63 *Id.* at 10.
64 *Id.* at 18, 22.
65 *Id.* at 19.
66 *Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP*, supra note 53, at 21, 23.
neighbor’s farm to breed. On the way, they passed an abandoned car that had been stuck in a ditch for weeks. Another car pulled up beside them. Constable Helpinstill was driving, while Deputy Constable G.W. “Happy” Copeland and Hal B. Stripling, Jr. rode as passengers. Helpinstill asked Littles about the abandoned car and the hog in the wagon. Littles answered his questions, and then Helpinstill drove away.

Minutes later, the car returned. Helpinstill spoke to Littles again and told him to bring Hutson, Jr. over, which he did. Helpinstill inquired if Hutson, Jr. knew who he was. Hutson, Jr. answered that “no,” he did not. In doing so, he did not address the 23-year-old Helpinstill as “Sir.” According to Hutson, Jr.’s account, Helpinstill responded by getting out of his car and hitting Hutson, Jr. with his pistol. It is undisputed that Hutson, Jr. then hit him back. Helpinstill then reportedly identified himself, noting that he was “the law.” Hutson, Jr. ran while Littles remained at the scene.

Helpinstill reportedly threatened to kill Littles if Hutson, Jr. would not return. Hutson, Jr. came back out of concern for his cousin. The three white men then forced Hutson, Jr. into their car. While Copeland drove, Helpinstill beat Hutson, Jr. with his pistol in the backseat of

---

67 Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP supra note 53, at 21, 23.
68 Id.
69 Id.
70 Id.
71 Id. at 22, 23.
72 Id. at 23.
73 Id.
74 Id. at 24.
75 Id. at 23.
76 Id.
77 Id.
78 Id. at 22, 23.
79 Id.
82 Id. at 24.
the car. They drove to Eggnog Branch, a nearby stream. There, according to Hutson, Jr., Helpinstill ordered Hutson, Jr. to wash the blood off his face and clothes. Helpinstill then pushed Hutson, Jr. into the cold water. Helpinstill reportedly commanded Hutson, Jr. to “take this pistol so I can kill you.” Hutson, Jr. refused and begged not to be killed. Two of Hutson, Jr.’s neighbors, who came down to the stream at that point, witnessed the violence.

The three white men then carried Hutson, Jr. back to the car and transported him to the county jail. Throughout the ride, Helpinstill reportedly continued to beat him and jab him with his pistol. According to Hutson, Jr., Helpinstill asked him, “If I don’t kill you, will you go to the FBI or go get you a colored lawyer, or will you plead guilty before the judge in the morning?” Hutson, Jr. promised to plead guilty and to leave Nacogdoches after he was released from jail. As they neared the jail, Copeland allegedly tried unsuccessfully to get Hutson, Jr. to put his hands on his pistol so it would bear Hutson, Jr.’s handprint.

When they arrived at the jail, Constable Helpinstill and High Sheriff Hagan Parmley put Hutson, Jr. into a cell. Hutson, Jr. was confined “without complaint, warrant of arrest, commitment or legal process of any kind.” That night, Helpinstill reportedly returned with Chief of Police Roebuck and police officer Pad Spradley. According to Hutson, Jr.’s account,

---

83 Id.
84 Id.
85 Id.
86 Id. at 25.
87 Id.
88 Id. at 13.
89 Id. at 26.
90 James Hutson interview, supra note 3.
91 Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 25.
92 Id.
93 Id.
94 Id. at 25-26.
95 Id. at 25.
96 Id. at 26.
Roebuck hit him while Helpinstill held him down.\textsuperscript{99} Roebuck allegedly threatened to kill Hutson, Jr., but did not.\textsuperscript{100} The three men then left.\textsuperscript{101}

The following morning, Copeland took Hutson, Jr. to the Courthouse for arraignment.\textsuperscript{102} On the way, Copeland reminded Hutson, Jr. that he had promised to plead guilty to assault.\textsuperscript{103} However, at the Courthouse, the County Attorney Harlon Martin informed Hutson, Jr. that he was not required to plead guilty and doing so might be more expensive.\textsuperscript{104, 105} Hutson, Jr. opted to contest the charges against him.\textsuperscript{106} On the way back, Copeland reportedly reprimanded Hutson, Jr. for this decision and added that Helpinstill would be angry.\textsuperscript{107}

Hutson, Jr.’s father, Ellis Hutson, Sr. arrived at the jail shortly thereafter.\textsuperscript{108} He had consulted with Attorney Arthur Lowery that morning about his son’s case.\textsuperscript{109} At the county jail, Hutson, Jr. spoke to his father through the jail bars and informed him that he wanted to post bond rather than plead guilty and pay the fine.\textsuperscript{110} Hutson, Sr. retrieved the bond and returned to the jail for his son to sign it. He told his son, “I’m trying to have you out of here in a few minutes.”\textsuperscript{111} That was the last time Hutson, Jr. ever saw his father alive.\textsuperscript{112}

Hutson, Sr. had arrived at the Courthouse at ten o’clock in the morning to deliver the bond to the Justice of the Peace. His girlfriend, Charlean Hudson, accompanied him.\textsuperscript{113, 114}

\textsuperscript{99} Id. at 26-7.  
\textsuperscript{100} Id.  
\textsuperscript{101} Id.  
\textsuperscript{102} Id. at 27.  
\textsuperscript{103} Id.  
\textsuperscript{104} Id. at 16, 27.  
\textsuperscript{105} Ed Gaston, Jr., “Jurors Picked Today for Murder Trial of Officer,” Daily Sentinel, June 8, 1941, 1.  
\textsuperscript{106} Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 27.  
\textsuperscript{107} Id. at 28.  
\textsuperscript{108} Id. at 27.  
\textsuperscript{109} Id. at 34-36.  
\textsuperscript{110} Id. at 27.  
\textsuperscript{111} Id. at 28.  
\textsuperscript{112} Id.  
\textsuperscript{113} Id. at 19.  
\textsuperscript{114} James Hutson interview, supra note 3.
Constable Helpinstill arrived shortly thereafter.\textsuperscript{115} The Justice of the Peace, Hugh B. Davis, would later describe Helpinstill’s demeanor as “agitated.”\textsuperscript{116} Helpinstill called Attorney Lowery from Davis’ office and inquired why Lowery had told Hutson, Jr. to contest the charges.\textsuperscript{118} Lowery reportedly told Helpinstill that he had not been involved in this decision, and that it must have been the County Attorney who had advised Hutson, Jr. \textsuperscript{119} The County Attorney confirmed Lowery’s assumption.\textsuperscript{120} According to both Attorney Lowery and Charlean Hudson, Helpinstill then threatened to kill Lowery over the telephone.\textsuperscript{121} Lowery would later tell the NAACP that he was afraid of Helpinstill and worried that “he might get shot in the back.”\textsuperscript{122}

The telephone conversation ended, and Constable Helpinstill commanded Hutson, Sr. to follow him to a secluded corridor.\textsuperscript{123} Hutson, Sr. complied, holding his hat in his hand.\textsuperscript{124} It is undisputed that moments later, Helpinstill cursed and cried out, “You tried to pull a knife on me!”\textsuperscript{125} At the same time, Helpinstill fired his gun three times, hitting Hutson, Sr. each time.\textsuperscript{126}

One bullet entered below Hutson, Sr.’s left clavicle, another penetrated the body on the left side at the seventh rib, and the third hit the left side of his chest.\textsuperscript{127} According to the undertaker:

The direction of the bullets indicated that the body at the time the bullets struck it was standing at right angles to the path of the bullets and the range of the bullets seemed to indicate that one or two of them ranged downward in the body and one toward the body.\textsuperscript{128}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item[115] Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 19.
\item[118] Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 16, 19.
\item[119] Id. at 16.
\item[120] Id.
\item[121] Id. at 16, 19.
\item[122] Id. at 16.
\item[123] Id. at 19.
\item[124] Id.
\item[126] Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 20.
\item[128] Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 14.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
Charlean Hudson confirmed this, reporting that she saw Hutson, Sr. already falling to the ground at the time of the second shot.\(^{129}\)

A six-inch pocket knife with a white handle was found by Hutson, Sr.’s body after the shooting.\(^{130, 131, 132}\) However, Ms. Hudson insisted that Hutson, Jr. did not own a knife.\(^{133}\)

Attorney Lowery, who saw Hutson, Sr. that morning while preparing the bond, said that he had specifically told Hutson, Sr. that he could not bring any weapons into the courthouse.\(^{134}\) Lowery was convinced that the knife found did not belong to Hutson, Sr.\(^{135}\) In fact, according to Attorney Lowery’s secretary, Hutson, Sr. had “pulled out his pockets” to show that he had no weapon when Lowery questioned him.\(^{136}\)

Ellis Hutson, Sr. was taken to the hospital and pronounced dead soon after arrival.\(^{137}\)

Constable Helpinstill reportedly surrendered his gun to Sherriff Parmley immediately after the shooting.\(^{138}\)

An hour and a half later, Hutson, Jr. was released from jail.\(^{139}\) According to Hutson, Jr., the man who released him told him to “get out of town.”\(^{140}\) Hutson, Jr. waited until after the funeral on March 17 and then left for Dallas.\(^{141, 142}\)

\(^{129}\) Id. at 20.
\(^{130}\) Id. at 17.
\(^{131}\) “Constable Charged After Negro Man Shot to Death,” Daily Sentinel, March 14, 1948, 1.
\(^{133}\) Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 20.
\(^{134}\) Id. at 16.
\(^{135}\) Id.
\(^{136}\) Id. at 23.
\(^{137}\) “Constable Charged After Negro Man Shot to Death,” Daily Sentinel, March 14, 1948, 1.
\(^{138}\) “Constable Charged After Negro Man Shot to Death,” Daily Sentinel, March 14, 1948, 1.
\(^{139}\) Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 29.
\(^{140}\) Id.
\(^{141}\) Id.
\(^{142}\) State of Texas. Death certificate no. 13843 (1948), Hutson, Ellis; Department of State Health Services – Vital Statistics Unit, Texas.
IV. The Struggle to Bring Justice

Ellis Hutson, Jr. may have gone to Dallas, but he did not forget his father. When he recovered from his injuries, he disguised himself as a woman and returned to Nacogdoches approximately twenty times with the intention of killing Helpinstill, Stripling, Jr. and Copeland.\(^{143}\) When he could not be in Nacogdoches himself, he enlisted his 11-year-old brother to lie in wait for Helpinstill with a shotgun to kill him.\(^{144}\) What the two sons did not know was that Helpinstill had left Nacogdoches. He had checked himself into a U.S. Navy Hospital in Houston to undergo treatment for injuries he had sustained in a car accident a year before.\(^{145}\)

Unable to take the law into his own hands, Hutson, Jr. sought the help of the FBI and the NAACP. On March 18, the day after Hutson, Sr. was laid to rest, the national office of the NAACP received a newspaper article clipped from a white Nacogdoches newspaper about the incident.\(^{146}\) It was sent to them from Arthur Weaver, a local NAACP activist, who had requested that his name be kept out of the investigation.\(^{147}\)

The NAACP sent its regional legal director Don Jones and Otto Mullinax, a white NAACP activist and labor lawyer from Dallas, to Nacogdoches to investigate the shooting.\(^{148}\) There, they learned about Constable Helpinstill’s previous victims of violence.\(^{149}\) The undertaker told Mullinax and Jones that Nacogdoches residents had become “disgusted” with the Constable’s office but were likely not “willing to take action any themselves.”\(^{150}\) Mullinax and Jones spoke with several members of the Hutson family, some of whom told the two men that

\(^{143}\) James Hutson interview, supra note 3.

\(^{144}\) Id. at 2.


\(^{146}\) Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 2-3.

\(^{147}\) Id. at 2.

\(^{148}\) Id. at 14.

\(^{149}\) Id.

\(^{150}\) Id.
they were scared for their safety but were still committed to bringing justice for Hutson, Sr.’s death and Hutson, Jr.’s beating. 151

Not everyone in Nacogdoches was so willing to speak with Mullinax and Jones, however. Two women known as the “Tanner Girls” refused to discuss the incident and insisted that they knew nothing about it, even though Mullinax suspected that they had witnessed the killing at the Courthouse. 152 Mullinax and Jones heard reports that a white woman had seen the shooting and had been traumatized by it. 153 Despite their efforts, she declined to come forward. 154, 155 Mullinax and Jones also learned that a county commissioner had witnessed the shooting, but their sources would not divulge which commissioner it was. 156 (County Commissioner Opal Coats later came forward and testified for the prosecution at the state criminal trial.) 157

Mullinax and Jones also learned that County Attorney Harlon Martin had made no efforts to prosecute the case and that District Attorney Ward Burke had little intention of pursuing it either. 158, 159 Burke told Mullinax that they would never be able to get a conviction. 160 He said he would accept special prosecution but requested that there be no publicity around the NAACP’s involvement. 161

Documents were sent to the NAACP’s national legal team, with an inquiry as to whether the organization was interested in pursuing a civil suit. 162 Days later, on April 19, 1948, Franklin Williams responded to Maceo Smith, the Secretary of the Texas State Conference of Branches of

---

151 Id. at 15.
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155 James Hutson interview, supra note 3.
156 Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 17.
158 Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 17.
160 Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 17.
161 Id. at 18.
162 Id. at 4.
the NAACP. 163 He seemed somewhat more amenable to the idea of a civil suit than he had in the Mergerson case, and stated that he could “see no valid objection to the institution of a civil suit for damages.” 164 However, Williams informed Smith that before they could proceed, they would first need the approval of the Executive Board of the State Conference. 165 Williams explained that NAACP funds were tight and their personnel resources were limited due to NAACP Special Counsel Thurgood Marshall’s absence. 166 He added that he preferred that the NAACP focus its efforts on “assisting the FBI wherever possible in: giving assaults of this type the most widespread publicity and in following through with the Department of Justice toward the end that the prosecution of the guilty parties will result.” 167 The national office of the NAACP sent the affidavits taken by Mullinax to the Department of Justice (DOJ) to achieve that end. 168

V. The State Criminal Trial: A First in Texas History

After receiving considerable pressure from the NAACP, the District Attorney moved forward with prosecuting Constable Helpinstill for Hutson, Sr.’s murder. He did so without the assistance of a special prosecutor. 169 On May 28, 1948, a grand jury indicted Helpinstill for the first-degree murder of Hutson, Sr. 170, 171 Helpinstill returned from Houston to give a voluntary statement to the grand jury. 172, 173 On Monday, May 31, he was arrested and released on bond. 174 He resigned from his post as Constable and announced he would not run for reelection. 175

163 Id. at 10.
164 Id.
165 Id.
166 Id.
167 Id.
168 Id. at 32.
169 Id. at 10.
171 “Murder Trial Set June 7,” Daily Sentinel, June 1, 1948, 1.
172 Helpinstill Police Brutality Case NAACP, supra note 53, at 6-7.
In spite of the District Attorney’s request that the NAACP not publicize its involvement, the NAACP issued a press release shortly after the indictment: “Nacogdoches Constable Indicted for Murder of Negro in Courthouse: Believed first time 1st degree murder indictment returned against peace officer for killing Negro in Texas.” The press release was picked up in the *Chicago Defender*, a national African-American newspaper, but the NAACP involvement was not referenced in local East Texas newspapers.

A special venire of 160 was called for trial. Jury selection began on Monday, June 7 and ended the next morning. In total, 86 of the 160 veniremen were examined. According to local newspaper reports, the prosecution reportedly questioned the potential jurors about their racial prejudices. Many were excused because they revealed during questioning that they already had an opinion on the case. The 12 jurors eventually selected included: four farmers, one logger, one employee of a feed and grain company, two carpenters, one merchant, one butcher (who served as the foreman), and one with an unknown position. Two of the jurors were World War II veterans.

Opening arguments began on the afternoon of June 8. The first witness for the prosecution was Charlean Hudson, who testified that she had accompanied Hutson, Sr. to the Courthouse and had been sitting on a nearby bench when she heard Constable Helpinstill curse
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and shoot Hutson, Jr.\textsuperscript{188,189} The prosecutors did not ask Hudson about whether Hutson, Sr. was armed.\textsuperscript{190}

The next state witness was Justice of the Peace Hugh B. Davis who testified to Helpinstill’s “agitated” demeanor in his office shortly before the shooting and recalled the telephone call Helpinstill had made.\textsuperscript{191,192} Nacogdoches County Auditor M.B. Campbell then testified that he and County Commissioner Opal Coates had seen Copeland at the shooting immediately after the shooting, and that he had heard Helpinstill curse and cry out about the alleged knife just before the shots were fired.\textsuperscript{193,194} Coates then gave similar testimony and stated that he had seen a knife lying in Hutson, Sr.’s hat after the shooting.\textsuperscript{195} He did not indicate whether the knife was open or closed and testified that Hutson, Sr. had “talked rough” to Helpinstill prior to the shooting.\textsuperscript{196} The state introduced the knife, which was never identified as having belonged to any particular individual.\textsuperscript{197}

The state also called Sheriff Hagan Parmley and County Veterans Officer Rex Mulver, who both testified that they had seen the knife lying in Hutson, Sr.’s hat, two inches from his hand.\textsuperscript{198,199} Another state witness, Reva Wade, an African-American woman, testified that she had seen Hutson, Sr. holding the knife in his hand while talking to Helpinstill but was unsure if the blade had been open.\textsuperscript{200,201} She added that Hutson, Sr. had not appeared to be angry.\textsuperscript{202}
On the second day of the trial, the state called Attorney Arthur Lowery, who testified that he had spoken to Helpinstill over the telephone shortly before the shooting and that Helpinstill had seemed “angry.” Mullinax, who observed the trial, later informed the NAACP that Lowery “should have been more thoroughly examined so as to bring out the exact telephone conversation.” Lowery also was not asked about how Hutson, Sr. had told him that he had not been armed that morning in his office.

The state also called Dr. A.I. Nelson who examined Hutson, Sr. immediately after the shooting. The state then rested. It did not call Attorney Lowery’s secretary, who could have testified to Hutson, Sr. emptying his pockets to show her that he did not have a weapon on his person. The state also did not call Vergie Jimerson, an African-American woman who reportedly had been present just after the shooting; nor did the prosecution call the neighbors who had witnessed Ellis Hutson, Jr.’s beating or Elree Littles. Ellis Hutson, Jr. did not appear at the trial, nor did Hutson, Sr.’s other children.

The first defense witness was Travis Helpinstill, who testified in his own defense. He appeared “meek and mild in his attitude” and called all attorneys who questioned him “Mr.” He also referenced his war record. Helpinstill asserted that he had shot Hutson, Sr. in self-
defense after the deceased had advanced on him with a knife. Helpinstill testified that he had asked Hutson, Sr. if he was armed, and then proceeded to search him. As he was searching, he stated that Hutson, Sr. pulled a knife. Helpinstill stated that even though he had shot Helpinstill twice with his pistol, he still feared for his life, and thus shot him a third time. At trial, Helpinstill contradicted some statements he had made to the grand jury less than two weeks earlier. At the grand jury, he had said that he had not spoken to Hutson, Sr. before the shooting, but he testified at trial that he had. He also contradicted himself when he stated that he had taken a step toward Hutson, Sr. prior to the shooting, rather than backward as he had told the grand jury.

Following Helpinstill’s testimony, the defense called Deputy Constable G.W. Copeland, who testified that he had seen the defendant pat Hutson, Sr. down for weapons prior to the shooting. This conflicted with Helpinstill’s testimony that he was in the process of patting Hutson, Sr. down when Hutson, Sr. pulled the knife. The remainder of Helpinstill’s thirteen witnesses, all white, testified that Hutson, Sr. “had a reputation for being ‘dangerous when aroused’ and for having “a violent and dangerous character.” The defense rested.

That afternoon, June 9, the state called five rebuttal witnesses. Two members of the grand jury were asked to verify the signed statement that Helpinstill had given during a voluntary
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appearance before the grand jury, which contradicted Helpinstill’s testimony at trial.\textsuperscript{230, 231} The state also called three whites as character witnesses to testify to Ellis Hutson, Sr.’s “good reputation.”\textsuperscript{232, 233}

The charge was read to the jury an hour later.\textsuperscript{234} County Attorney Harlon Martin gave a twenty-minute closing argument, and then the defense attorney gave his closing argument. He stated that the grand jury witnesses had confused the facts, and he criticized Ellis Hutson, Jr. for not having been at the trial.\textsuperscript{235} He also attacked Hutson, Sr.’s allegedly bad reputation and criticized County Attorney Martin for not having offered Helpinstill’s complete statement to the grand jury.\textsuperscript{236}

The jury deliberated for one hour and forty-three minutes.\textsuperscript{237} They reportedly took three official ballots. Mullinax believed that there were three jurors who initially voted to convict Helpinstill, but then agreed to acquit him.\textsuperscript{238} The jury returned with a not guilty verdict.\textsuperscript{239}

Attorney Mullinax later wrote in a memorandum to the national NAACP office that, “The State as a whole put on a very good case and was as fair about the trial in presenting witnesses were not too favorable to the State but they did present enough evidence to convict.”\textsuperscript{240} He opined that, “it would have been hard indeed in view of all these facts to return a verdict of not guilty as this jury did.”\textsuperscript{241} He regretted that the state did not proceed with a special prosecutor, and stated that someone from outside the county without any connection to the individuals
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involved would have been more apt to stress that the jury had a duty to convict regardless of the
defendant’s or victim’s race. 242 He concluded that:

It is in this observer’s opinion and view of the entire case that unless the jurors are
fired by an especially heated argument demanding of them that they perform their
duty as they are sworn to do that a jury will not, mainly for public opinion alone,
convict a peace officer in Texas for shooting a negro.243

Upon receiving news of the verdict, NAACP Assistant Special Counsel Edward R.
Dudley wrote to Mullinax, stating “The outcome of this case is about as expected by us and as
anticipated…We do feel, however, that although Helpinstill was not convicted, the mere fact that
he was indicted by the Grand Jury and brought to trial is in itself a step forward.”244 Dudley told
Mullinax that although the national office was “not optimistic on the outcome of [a civil] suit, we
do feel that such is justified under the circumstances in this case.”245

VI. Ellis Hutson, Jr.’s Civil Suit Against Helpinstill

A little over months later, on August 25, 1948, Hutson, Jr. filed a civil suit against
Helpinstill in the 2nd Judicial District, Court of Nacogdoches County, Texas.246 He filed suit both
as a direct injured party and in his role as administrator of his father’s estate.247 Otto Mullinax
represented Hutson, Jr. as his attorney.248

The first claim in Hutson, Jr’s civil suit addressed the arrest and abuse he received at the
hands of Constable Helpinstill. The first part of the complaint alleged that on March 12, Travis
Helpinstill, in his capacity as constable, “falsely, wrongly, and unlawfully” arrested Hutson, Jr.;
“deprived him of his liberty, upon the alleged false charge of assault and battery”; “placed and
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confined plaintiff in the county jail of said County of Nacogdoches, without complaint, warrant of arrest, commitment or legal process of any kind”; “illegally and unlawfully kept and confined him for a period of 25 hours without a hearing or opportunity to make bond, against the will and over the protest” of Hutson, Jr.; “failed and refused to” take him before the nearest magistrate in spite of his duty to do so; “maliciously and willfully assaulted and beat [Hutson, Jr.] with a pistol, with his fists and with clubs.”

The complaint stated that Hutson, Jr. “would represent to the court that he was not guilty of” assault and battery, and that “he was afterwards released and discharged.” The complaint further alleged that Helpinstill’s actions caused Hutson, Jr. “great humiliation, mortification, mental and physical pain and suffering, damage and injury.” The complaint also alleged that, due to physical injury from the beating, Hutson, Jr. became “totally disabled from work” for one month, causing him to lose $100 in wages which he otherwise would have earned.

The second claim in the Hutson, Jr’s lawsuit was brought in his capacity as administrator/executor of his father’s estate. The complaint sought monetary compensation “for the use and benefit of himself and the other surviving heirs and children…and as next friend and for the benefit of” his minor siblings. It alleged that Helpinstill, in his capacity as constable, “willfully and maliciously shot and killed” Hutson, Sr., and that the deceased “did nothing on his part and was without fault, and did not in any way provoke such an attack.”
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It further alleged that Hutson, Sr. did not own property, and was dependent upon his income to support both himself and his family.\textsuperscript{256}

In total, Hutson, Jr. sought $7,500 in actual damages and $15,000 in exemplary damages. The breakdown was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual damages</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hutson, Jr.’s unlawful arrest, resulting injuries, and lost pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Actual damages of $1,000 for each of Hutson, Sr.’s seven children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total actual damages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary damages</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hutson, Jr.’s unlawful arrest and resulting injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Actual damages of $2,000 for each of Hutson, Sr.’s seven children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total exemplary damages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Helpinstill submitted his answer on September 22, 1948 through his attorney.\textsuperscript{257} In it, he denied the allegations in the complaint as “not true in whole or in part.”\textsuperscript{258}

Six months later, on April 13, 1949, Hutson, Jr. filed an amended version of his original complaint, to include both Maryland Casualty Company and the Donegan Insurance Agency of Texas as defendants.\textsuperscript{259} These two companies were alleged to serve as sureties for Helpinstill in the amount of $1,000.\textsuperscript{260} Hutson, Jr. sought to hold the companies “jointly and severally liable” for $1,000 of the $22,500 he sought from Helpinstill.\textsuperscript{261} Two weeks later, on April 27, Maryland Casualty Company answered the complaint and denied the allegations.\textsuperscript{262} There is no record of an answer from Donegan Insurance Agency.
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A subpoena was issued to Charlean Hudson on June 11, 1949, but it was determined that “her whereabouts [sic] is unknown, and she is out of the county.”  

Three days later, Ellis Hutson, Jr. reached a settlement agreement with Maryland Casualty Company. According to the agreement, Maryland Casualty Company would pay the family $500 plus costs of court. Of those funds, Hutson, Sr.’s three minor children and his 18-year-old daughter, Mary Ellen, would each receive $25. Attorney Lowery served as the children’s guardian ad litem. Attorney Mullinax received $250, and Ellis Hutson, Jr. received the remaining $150. Hutson, Sr.’s other two adult children named in the suit, Lincoln and Floyd, agreed to “take nothing herein as against the Maryland Casualty Company” because Hutson, Sr. had not supported them since they reached the age of majority. The court dismissed the complaint against Helpinstill and the Donegan Insurance Agency with prejudice the day the case settled. The next month, the African-American newspaper, the Chicago Defender ran a short story about the settlement.

VII. The Federal Prosecution

On February 20, 1950, a grand jury indicted Travis Helpinstill on charges of violating 18 U.S.C. §§ 51 and 52 with respect to the arrest and assault of Ellis Hutson, Jr. On the first count, for violating § 51, the government alleged that Constable Helpinstill had conspired
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Deputy G.W. Copeland when he unlawfully seized and subjected Hutson, Jr. to punishment without due process of law. 274 Copeland himself was not indicted. 275 On the second count, for violating § 52, the government charged that he had acted under the color of law to deprive Hutson, Jr. of his rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment. 276 Helpinstill was released on $1,000 bond. 277 Helpinstill was arraigned on September 25, 1950 at Tyler Division of the Eastern District of Texas, United States District Court. 278 Representing himself, Helpinstill appeared again on October 2, 1950 to plead *nolo contendre* to the second count against him, the charge that he had violated § 52. 279 The court dropped the first charge and imposed a 90-day suspended sentence. 280

**VIII. Conclusion**

Ellis Hutson, Sr. and Ellis Hutson, Jr.’s story stand out in a time when little legal recourse existed for African-Americans victimized by white law enforcement officers. Their story represents the unique set of circumstances that had to occur in order for a man like Constable Helpinstill to be brought to justice in the Jim Crow Era. An assault of a black man by a white law enforcement officer, like the one which sent Willie Lee Mergerson to the hospital for months, was not enough to merit NAACP involvement. Rather, the NAACP focused its legal staff on civil rights cases in which a law enforcement officer had clearly acted under the “color of law.” The assault on Mergerson lacked this element, but it was present in the assault on Hutson, Jr. Still, even with NAACP involvement, a state criminal prosecution was not enough to bring
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Helpinstill to justice for killing Ellis Hutson, Sr. The trial became a referendum on the African-American victim’s character rather than on the strength of the prosecution’s case. As NAACP Attorney Mullinax opined, it was too difficult for local prosecutors to convict a white man of murdering an African-American man, even with sufficient evidence to convict.

Only after the not guilty verdict at the state trial did the NAACP offered to assist with a civil suit against Helpinstill. The outcome of the lawsuit was modest: just $500. Only after the successful civil suit in state court did the Department of Justice finally take action to prosecute Helpinstill. Even when the federal government stepped in, Helpinstill never served a single day in jail for the violence he inflicted upon the Hutsons.

The Hutson family story is a testament to Ellis Hutson, Jr and his efforts to secure justice for his father’s death and on his own account. He – with the help of the NAACP -- kept slogging forward to achieve a small measure of justice. The outcome eventually vindicated the innocence of Hutson, Sr. and uncovered the truth about Constable Helpinstill’s abuse of his authority as a law enforcement officer, as well as getting him out of office where he could have continued his abuse of black citizens. In addition, it established some legal “firsts” in the state of Texas.